



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/501622 09/111003 Mills  
09/678730 09/501621  
09/009837 09/225687  
09/110678 10/076590  
09/111160 09/813792  
09/362693 09/009455  
09/669877

EXAMINER	
W. A. Lange	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1754

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Melcher (3) Examiner Kalafut  
(2) Examiner Lange (4) Dr. Mills  
Date of Interview 2-11-03 (5) Mr. Simenauer

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 911

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Dr. Mills presented compounds of the novel hydrogen, as well as gaseous lower energy hydrogen. He also gave the participants an article entitled "Novel Catalytic Reaction of Hydrogen as a Potential New Energy Source" from PSC (int. comp. 2)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

page 1 of 4

Wayne A. Lange



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/30/622  
etc,

Mills

EXAMINER	
W.A. Lange	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1754

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(6) ~~Mr~~ Stanley Silverman  
(7) ~~Mr~~ Pat Ryan

(3) Ted Liu  
(4) Mr. Brewer  
(5) Examiner Wayner

Date of Interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

(cont. from page 1) Alamos National Laboratory, which is attached hereto. See also the Attachments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

page 2 of 4

Wayne A. Lange

2/13/03

ATTACHMENT TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM

Applicant requested that the following points discussed at the interview held on February 11, 2003 be included as an Attachment to the Interview Summary Form.

Applicant's counsel and the Examiners in attendance at the interview agreed to meet again at a future date, either in person or by telephone, to continue discussions regarding the patentability of Applicant's pending patent applications. Specifically, the Examiners expressed concern that the Applicant's experimental evidence be commensurate with the scope of the claims. To address that concern, Applicant's counsel agreed with the Examiners to go through the patent <sup>application</sup> ~~claims~~ claim-by-claim with the Examiners and demonstrate how the scientific data supports those claims.

page 3 of 4 M. J. G. Langel

For ~~any~~<sup>those</sup> claims that are supported  
by the data, the PTO agrees to issue  
those claims. For those claims that  
the PTO determines are not supported by  
the data, Applicant will continue to  
seek that broader claim coverage in  
subsequent proceedings.

Wayne A. Fangel